

# **LibertyColumns.com**

## **Library**

**Volume II**  
**(2012, January through August)**

Daniel R. Quintiliani

LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume II (2012, January through August)  
by Daniel R. Quintiliani  
Completed September 3, 2012

Dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication on September 3, 2012

## **CONTENTS**

- Preface / pg. 4
- Occupy Wall Street Republicans – Welcome to the 2012 Republican Revolution / pg. 6
- Generation (Oba)Mao / pg. 7
- Unrelated Videos: Why YouTube VEVO Channels Deliberately Flunk Marketing 101 / pg. 9
- Romney, Rand, & the Tea Party – Are “Endorsements” Necessary? / pg. 11
- In Defense of Elite Societies / pg. 13
- A Romney Supreme Court / pg. 15
- Was John Roberts Playing a Poker Hand? / pg. 16
- Dr. Limited: Pauls Abandoning Fed Fighting, Switching to Attacking “Collectivism” of CC & GPL, Supporting “Limited” Regulation / pg. 17
- Graduated Suicide: How the “Mafia ISPs” Have DoS’ed Themselves to Death / pg. 19
- Don’t Be A Sucker To Globalist Guilt / pg. 21
- Chick-fil-A, Intolerance, and the Liberal War On Personality / pg. 22
- Presidential Efficiency / pg. 23
- The Easiest Way To Prove Obama’s Faith / pg. 24
- Romney/Ryan 2012: The Single Issue Ticket / pg. 26
- Global Warming “Denial” – The Opposite of Holocaust Denial / pg. 27
- The Party of Blood & Gore Is Now The Second Party of Abortion / pg. 28
- Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication / pg. 30

## PREFACE

Since 2009 I have run a blog known as the X-Cop Fly Company ([www.xcopfly.com](http://www.xcopfly.com)) which has varied in its nature over the years. I was never able to decide what I wanted it to be – the site had been a personal journal, political commentary, personal photos, and humorous videos at various times.

However, over time people were noticing my political columns, and some of my columns were reproduced at Brian Duffy's Repeal the 17<sup>th</sup> Amendment blog

(<http://repealthe17thamendment.blogspot.com>), with myself being interviewed on several episodes of his podcast, and eventually my site was listed at Librabase ([www.librabase.com](http://www.librabase.com)), a blog aggregator with a rating system similar to Digg's.

It became apparent that I needed a separate blog devoted to conservative and libertarian political commentary. LibertyColumns.com ([www.libertycolumns.com](http://www.libertycolumns.com)) was created in June 2012, with several columns from the X-Cop Fly Company re-posted there.

As with X-Cop Fly Company, I opted to waive all rights on most of the self-written material, choosing Creative Commons' CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication, while retaining the copyright on a few things (and, of course, avoiding violating others' copyrights)

One of the things I do with X-Cop Fly Company is a series of eBooks known as *The Fly Papers*. These eBooks, separated into volumes, contain all posts (usually 6 months' worth per volume) with copyrighted content stripped, and the eBooks are themselves waived under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. This is being done for several reasons, most notably the lack of public domain material in the face of popular copyleft and no-commercial-use licensing. Completely waiving the material would encourage historical preservation, increase financial opportunities for all who would make use of it, discourage anti-American multiculturalism, and discourage the restrictive nature of copyright, which is increasingly being viewed as a super-property right with infinitely-expanding terms.

In order to legally waive the rights on *The Fly Papers*, copyrighted content (such as quotes from news articles) was summarized in italicized brackets. However, as the X-Cop Fly Company began to include political commentary, the chapterless post-by-post nature made this material impossible to read.

After setting up LibertyColumns.com, I decided to make a similar *LibertyColumns.com Library* eBook series. As these are original columns rarely making use of material from other sources, the need to summarize linked articles is rare and, if needed, is done via simple footnotes.

Volume I of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, released in July 2012, contained all posts from 2009 through 2011. These were not "LibertyColumns.com posts" but rather X-Cop Fly Company posts which were copied over to LibertyColumns.com after LibertyColumns.com was created. By including them in *LibertyColumns.com Library*, the columns become easier to read (as per the formatting described above).

Volume II of *LibertyColumns.com Library* contains all posts from January through August 2012. The May 2012 column ends the copied-over X-Cop Fly Company posts, with the June through August columns originating from LibertyColumns.com.

This book is dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. A copy of this waiver (public domain) has been included at the end of this book. Note that this book makes frequent references to others' names and trademarks – these belong to their respective owners, who are not affiliated with this book or its author.

I hope this work proves itself enjoyable to many and will encourage further expansion of the public domain and a loosening of copyright laws and lessening of copyright terms around the world. I look forward to working on Volume III (likely containing September through December 2012) within the next few months.

Daniel R. Quintiliani (owner, LibertyColumns.com)  
September 3, 2012

## **Occupy Wall Street Republicans – Welcome to the 2012 Republican Revolution**

*January 8, 2012*

Here are the leaders of the Republican Revolution of 2012<sup>1</sup>:

**Mitt Romney** – Supports abortion. Supports Socialist National health care and private mandates, turning millions of unemployed Americans into indentured criminals in 2014. Supports the homosexual agenda.

**Rick Perry** – Encourages 11-year-old girls to have sex, even to the point of fighting his legislature. Sympathizes with Muslim terrorist groups. Favors public works projects.

**Newt Gingrich** – Supports private health mandates, turning millions of unemployed Americans into indentured criminals in 2014. Supports food and drug regulation. Supports global warming. Supports domestic non-defense regulation. Opposes gun rights.

**Rick Santorum** – Supports private health mandates, turning millions of unemployed Americans into indentured criminals in 2014. Occasional weakness on the abortion issue. Opposes gun rights. Supports labor union interests.

In 1994 we had a free-market Republican Revolution of Deregulation.

In 2010, we had a limited-government Republican Tea Party Revolution of Small Government.

We had Soviet Socialism. We have Cuban Socialism. We have Chinese Socialism.  
The Occupy Wall Street movement is American Socialism.

Welcome to the American Socialist Revolution of 2012.  
Brought to you by the Republican Party.

---

<sup>1</sup> These were mainstream-media-declared "top-tier" candidates for the 2012 Republican Primary, which Mitt Romney would win. In the original X-Cop Fly Company / LibertyColumns.com post, sentences here had the words "(source)" or "(more on...)" which were hyperlinked to various information and news articles.

## **Generation (Oba)Mao**

*April 7, 2012*

Communist leader Mao Tse-tung was known for his repeated attempts to change all aspects of China into his school of Communism. This included the abolition of their gods, religions, and philosophers and forcing people into work on collective farms. Anyone who got in his way were sent to re-education camps or killed.

Something very similar is happening to America's children and teenagers right now, and it must stop, because it is not only emotionally but physically dangerous – they are being taught that any consequences to their actions should be minimized or ignored.

One common example of this is considering mass amounts of childhood obesity an “epidemic”. When bullied by their classmates for “being fat”, no longer should children start on a proper diet or exercise program and improve their physical health, but they should be coddled by the government school system via lawsuits and regulations into making it less likely for them or their lower-grade peers to be bullied.

While harassment, threats, and physical violence are always illegal and should be reported to the school or other authorities, the extremist liberals who dominate our education system intimidate fast-food restaurants into re-designing their loyalty programs for children, or legislating them out of existence or far from town. For no longer can children think, “I’m getting fat lately, and all the other kids are making fun of me, so maybe I should watch my weight and limit my Happy Meals.” Children no longer have a need to – “there is no such thing as a Happy Meal, and no matter how much of its alternatives I eat, it’s this epidemic going around that makes me fat.”

Think this is a harmless way to get kids to be kind to their classmates? Think again – as they grow into their teenage years, no longer do they have to worry about the consequences of unprotected casual sex – for there is no such thing as a sexually transmitted disease, only sexually transmitted “infections”. Minimizing the consequences of unprotected casual sex will lead to anything from economic and social impediments to being slowly and painfully killed.

As New York schools are banning discussion of poverty, unemployment, and the other “negatives” of life from tests, they are attempting to transform society into the liberal view of “positive” – an unrealistic utopia where you can have and do anything you want, whenever you want, and nothing bad will ever happen. This mentality of a completely transformed society into a “positive” society resembles Maoism, Stalinism, Mussolini, Hitler, and the Holocaust also transforming their society – claiming their mass murder and genocide to be “positive” for their respective countries’ stability, security, and economic well-being. Maintaining these types of fraudulent realities do not work, and when they do “work”, people die.

When these children graduate college, they will see pretty quickly the truth. Rather than dealing with bullies making fun you for being openly gay, you will have to deal with fundamentalist Christians and Muslims warning you of how much of a sinner they believe you to be. Rather than dealing with bullies making fun of you for overeating and being fat, you will have to deal with diabetes and cholesterol. Rather than being permanently financially sound, you might have occasional trouble paying your bills or lose your job for a while. The principals and guidance counselors of adulthood will only stop serious physical violence, threats, and certain types of harassment, not “being made fun of” or “being sad” because of the consequences of something you didn’t know had any.

This mentality has to stop. While we want the best for our kids, trying to transform all of society into one where there are allegedly no risks, diseases, health problems, hurt feelings, or other consequences to your actions (a nonexistent, impossible society) is emotionally and physically dangerous to them as teenagers and adults and to the entire human race. It has failed under fascism, it has failed under communism, and it will fail under extremist liberalism.

## **Unrelated Videos: Why YouTube VEVO Channels Deliberately Flunk Marketing 101<sup>2</sup>**

*May 6, 2012*

If you listen to music on YouTube, no doubt you've heard of the "VEVO" channels used by the major record labels. However, if you listen to non-dance, non-soft-rock, or older music, you probably wonder why VEVO channels' "Related Videos" are anything but related. You may put on some Alice in Chains or Nirvana, but be offered Justin Bieber. You may be listening to Rob Zombie or Korn, and be offered Rihanna. You may be listening to the Tom Petty or Van Halen, but be offered Taylor Swift.

Why would major multinational record companies, failing in their fight against the free-market capitalism offered by BitTorrent, MySpace, and iTunes, be flunking common-sense marketing skills?

First, let's go back to 2000, when the RIAA and the US Federal Government set up a racket to destroy a rising Internet Radio industry. SoundExchange, as this "nonprofit organization" is called, is authorized by law to collect royalties from Internet radio. Unlike other royalty collection groups such as ASCAP, which collect a percentage of the profit, all Internet radio stations playing music of any kind are forced to enroll in this RIAA-funded "nonprofit", keep detailed records of every visitor and song left, and pay ridiculously-high fees based on the amount of individual listeners per song. Even broadcasters such as ClearChannel stream at a loss. Even if you broadcast yourself banging pots-and-pans or play a non-RIAA artist, you are required by law to enroll in this racket, since *all* musicians can join in the SoundExchange racket. And any surplus belongs to the RIAA.

SoundExchange forces every Internet competitor to government-licensed, payola'd-by-proxy<sup>3</sup> broadcast radio stations to operate at a loss, and with the inconvenience of keeping detailed records on each listener and song.

But why would the RIAA spend all this money and legal resources to force you to listen to a limited amount of songs? Wouldn't it make more economic sense to appeal to different audiences?

---

<sup>2</sup> This is the last of the columns which were copied over from XCopFly.com.

<sup>3</sup> A link here explained the role of "indies" in the promotion of music to radio stations.

Time to go back to the 1960s. At that time you had musicians like The Beatles, Cream, Jimi Hendrix, and the Jefferson Airplane and behind-the-scenes personalities like Berry Gordy and Phil Spector. Record companies were killing each other to have the biggest hit, and later, the biggest album.

Now, on to the 1990s. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 made it easier for radio stations to merge and form conglomerates like ClearChannel and Cumulus. The “local” radio station became the “classic rock” or “rap” or “alternative” station. The fact that these stations are identical and often remotely controlled by DJs around the country made music promotion much easier.

Armed with easier than ever control of the distribution channels, the RIAA was able to “quality-fix” the music. No longer did they have to worry about the expenses associated with studio engineering and corporate songwriters.

Until the Internet came along. Then the RIAA’s cost-saving “quality-fixing” measures became reduced to the low-quality music that it was.

Reduced to a needle in a haystack, the RIAA continues to push for North-Korean-style regulation of the Internet, via laws, treaties, illegal vigilantism, and everything else they can still afford. They don’t care about the quality of their music. They only care about once again being able to “quality-fix” music.

“Here are your Related Videos. Someday, we hope they once again become your *Only* Videos.”

## **Romney, Rand, & the Tea Party – Are “Endorsements” Necessary?<sup>4</sup>**

*June 10, 2012*

Many (including myself) are angry at Rand Paul for endorsing the pro-choice<sup>5</sup>, pro-mandate, big-government Mitt Romney. Even Ron Paul, knowing he is unable to carry sufficient delegates, has indirectly endorsed Romney without mentioning his name.<sup>6</sup>

Justifying a claimed ability to “beat Obama”, endorsements of this Hillary Clinton clone continue to sound throughout the GOP, including so-called “Tea Party” groups and our Congress filled with “Tea Party” Republicans who do their best to increase Obama’s executive power.

With all other conservative candidates lacking sufficient delegates, it appears that the liberal Occupy Wall Street wing of the Republican Party, single-issue voters caring only about “jobs”, will dominate and damage the GOP for at least half a decade.

However, there is no need for conservatives and libertarians to join in with Romney and his fellow Clintonites. Instead of “endorsing” Mitt Romney, politicians and Tea Party activists can just stay quiet and cast their vote.

It’s a shame that Republicans have allowed the liberal Media 1.0 to succeed in electing an Occupy Wall Street Republican who differs from Obama in nothing but race. Sometimes Romney goes further left than Obama – for example, Romneycare includes both Obamacare’s private mandate and an actual single-payer socialized insurance program for those who can’t afford it.

In addition, Mitt Romney has made it very clear<sup>7</sup> that he supports abortion and funding of it, praising Massachusetts parental consent laws as weak enough not to matter, and that he will not repeal Obamacare, but “replace” it. If Romney wins and succeeds, his Romneycare will socialize the American medical system completely.

---

<sup>4</sup> This column is the first to originate from LibertyColumns.com.

<sup>5</sup> Linked here was an X-Cop Fly Company blog post embedding a video of the Massachusetts gubernatorial debate from 2002, where Mitt Romney proclaimed his strong support for his pro-choice views and praised MA’s parental consent laws for being too weak to matter.

<sup>6</sup> i.e., supporting the Republican Party regardless of candidate in order to remove President Obama from office.

<sup>7</sup> Same as footnote #5

There is no way Romney will win this election, and the liberal Media 1.0 knows this. And it is unfortunate that the Republican Party has become a party of media-brainwashed think-alike Obammunists.

Instead of *endorsing* Romney, conservatives and libertarians should *stay quiet* in the face of the media and *keep a secret ballot*. Feel free to “defeat Obama” in November. Just don’t switch from Tea Party Capitalism to Occupy Wall Street Socialism, especially in the face of the public.

## **In Defense of Elite Societies**

*June 19, 2012*

Secret societies, Ivy League fraternities, and elite organizations have been a concern to many since the early days of this country. Many of these groups advocate international cooperation, often to the extreme of multinational and universal governing bodies, with powers ranging from specific issues to full global government.

Conservatives and libertarians know the threat such views pose to the sovereignty of the United States, states' rights, and individual liberty. Examples such as the Bilderberg Group, which concluded their annual meeting about two weeks ago, include political leaders and corporate executives from various countries and agendas who are opposed to national sovereignty. Other groups, such as the Bohemian Grove, Freemasonry, and Skull and Bones, make use of occult and mock-occult symbolism and imagery in their fraternal rituals, pageants, logos, and recreational activities – highly inappropriate for a Judeo-Christian nation.

While many of these groups' goals are unclear or destructive with regards to national sovereignty and personal liberty, placing restrictions on powerful leaders' private lives is *also* anti-liberty. Past records of these groups are easily accessible on the Internet, and records "leaked" by whistleblowers are also available. It is ultimately our choice at the voting booth to choose our candidates, and if all have associations to these groups, finding the least threat to freedom is up to us.

While available and media-appointed candidates are almost always members of elite groups, and often times their associations overlap (such as in the 2004 general election, when both President Bush and Senator Kerry were involved in Skull and Bones), and proven voter fraud has occurred throughout our history (such as the Kennedy/Nixon election in 1960), we still in the majority of cases have a choice.

The Internet has enabled us to thoroughly investigate political candidates, both in primary and general elections, with both multimedia and written material available. Empowered by technology, we all form an elite of our own, and it is our goal to recruit our fellow party members and independents away from mainstream media propaganda. Let the elites have their first amendment rights, so long as the rest of us continue to have ours.

## A Romney Supreme Court

June 24, 2012

The next four years could bring about the retirement of three justices - Ginsburg, Scalia, and Kennedy – who are all in their mid-to-late 70s.

The age of these justices has become a political issue, as they would likely be replaced in a Romney or second-term Obama supreme court.

But is this really an issue?

Mitt Romney:

- Defends his single-payer socialized medical system and mandate “for states’ rights only”, and will not repeal, but “replace” Obamacare
- [Supports abortion]<sup>8</sup>
- Comes from a consistently and extremely blue state
- Supports [pre-emptive war]<sup>9</sup>, listing his foreign policy on his Web site by nation and region. This overemphasis on foreign policy suggests he has *at least* the same amount of bloodthirst as Obama (less the pro-Sharia tendencies).

We’ll have a left-wing, pro-abortion, government-expanding Supreme Court either way – the same Clinton court Republicans once fought against (and maintaining these same conservative values under Bush II).

With two pro-choice, left-wing, big-government, bloodthirsty parties, there will be no difference between a Romney “Jobs” court and an Obama “Hope” court. This is not a political issue in 2012. It has already been settled.

---

<sup>8</sup> A quote from the 2002 gubernatorial video (see #5) was present here

<sup>9</sup> Project for a New American Century and their infamous 2000 report "Rebuilding America's Defenses"

## **Was John Roberts Playing a Poker Hand?**

*July 2, 2012*

Supreme Court Justice John Roberts has disappointed many with his upholding of Obamacare and its mandate, and many are asking how he (like all our “Tea Party” Republicans in Congress) could have switched from the conservative Right to the radical Left.

However, did Justice Roberts have another reason for his ruling, one which has nothing to do with Obamacare at all?

First, remember that the court ruled the mandate a tax rather than a fine, preventing those unable to afford \$500+/month premium payments from failing background checks for future jobs.

Second, remember that Bush II, who appointed Roberts, was a neocon. Neoconservatism as an ideology supports unlimited amounts of government power and enforcement, regardless of the fiscal health of any country, in order to stabilize short-term private capitalism. So, like Bush II and the Elder, Roberts believes in the unlimited ability to tax, especially if it would ensure that the health care industry operates in an efficient manner.

Third, remember that Romney’s MassHealth is not simply a mandate, but a mandate with a single-payer fully socialized medical system as a fallback. If Obama’s mandate is a tax deduction rather than a fine, millions of unemployed and part-time Americans do not have to worry about accruing fines owed to the federal government and its effect on background checks.

Thus the only real difference between the two plans is that ***Romney guaranteed medical coverage for everyone in Massachusetts, while Obama claimed he would do the same for the whole country and lied.***

Did John Roberts really switch to the far left for good? No, not at all – John Roberts made a far-left ruling to further lower Obama’s approval rating, helping bring Bush/Romney neoconservatism back into the White House.

## **Dr. Limited: Pauls Abandoning Fed Fighting, Switching to Attacking “Collectivism” of CC & GPL, Supporting “Limited” Regulation**

*July 6, 2012*

They say people who mess with the privatized portion of the government banking system either change their minds (e.g. FDR) or die strange deaths (e.g. JFK). Well, Ron and Rand Paul have abandoned their “End the Fed” campaign at their “Audit the Fed” bill, which was supposed to be a first step to the allowing of competing private currencies and an end to the Fed in its entirety.

And not only that – Ron and Rand have released “The Technology Revolution: A Campaign for Liberty Manifesto” which claims to increase freedom on the Internet.

However, this 10-page “manifesto” is loaded with contradictions and calls for some amount of Internet regulation despite claiming to be against it in the first few pages.<sup>10</sup>

Page 1 [supports a free market Internet without government regulation]

Page 2 attacks [...] the *personal opinion* [that intellectual property rights should be limited or eliminated and public domain content should be increased] as [an example of collectivist *thinking*]

Finally on Page 10, the Pauls lay out their blueprint for Internet regulation[.]

As you can see, no longer are they fighting regulation as per their previous paleolibertarianism, but have joined the Objectivists and the Beltwayites in supporting so-called “market-based policies”. No longer is Ron “Dr. No” – joining in with his son Rand, Ron (via his Campaign for Liberty) is now “Dr. Limited”.

---

<sup>10</sup> It is easier to use [summaries] in this column than footnotes to describe the document.

Most disturbing about this is their views on so-called “intellectual property” as [collectivist ***thinking***]. With their strong backing in Austrian economics and paleolibertarianism, the Pauls should know better.

Even if they did disagree with Austrian economics on this one issue, the majority of this ["collectivist thinking"] is done via private waivers, such as those waivers offered by Creative Commons and the Free Software Foundation.

By opposing the waiving of some or all so-called “intellectual property”, they are essentially opposing private contracts, instead favoring [non-vague] government regulation of the Internet.

Libertarians everywhere continue to praise this document, leaving its numerous contradictions and support for increased regulation of the Internet untouched.

Admit it. Ron and Rand Paul have merely created a second generation of “Tea Party Republicans”, which (like them) now favor increased government regulations – just like the pro-Obama “Tea Party Republicans” in Congress now.

## **Graduated Suicide: How the “Mafia ISPs” Have DoS’ed Themselves to Death**

*July 13, 2012*

Several months ago, five of America’s largest ISPs (AT&T, Cablevision, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, and Verizon), and God knows how many others have in secret, signed a deal with the Hollywood Mafia. Under the guise of “copyright protection”, the ISPs have installed a tap for the Hollywood Mafia, and will be engaging in denial-of-service (DoS) attacks against their own customers.

These attacks will consist of “punishing” customers with various types of well-known denial-of-service attacks, including slow page loading times (a la Anonymous), click-through warnings and quizzes (a la “Win A Free iPod!” spyware), and eventually a full termination of service.

The attacks, which they call “graduated response”, begin this weekend.<sup>11</sup>

All of this malicious and potentially illegal activity will be based on mere allegations via neocon-style warrantless wiretapping.

What these “Mafia ISPs” fail to realize is the many ways they can be denied financial health not merely through individuals, but through lawsuits from commercial victims of the attacks. These include:

- **Lost business hours.** Perhaps an employee is engaging in allegedly infringing behavior during a lunch break, or the company has an unsecured wireless network. (Nothing the IT department can do about all those employees with slow Internet/being forced to take online quizzes!)
- **Loss of trade secrets.** If one company’s computer (or someone from the parking lot using an unsecure wireless network) is engaging in allegedly infringing behavior, who knows which computer will be also affected? What confidential activity will need to be explained to shareholders before things have finalized?

---

<sup>11</sup> When the day came, the “Mafia ISPs” decided they were going to slowly and secretly roll it out.

- **Impediments in delivery of goods and services.** It becomes even worse when a contract guaranteeing delivery on a certain date is spoiled. (How will this affect the global commodities markets?)

The “Mafia ISPs” should also be aware of:

- **Shared Internet connections and insecure wi-fi** causing mistaken denial-of-service attacks
- **Cloned or spoofed MAC addresses**
- **Class action lawsuits** by multiple businesses and individuals
- **Corporate policies**
- **Shareholder communications**
- **Nonprofits and charities** which deal in sensitive issues (such as *sexual abuse, drug addiction, crisis pregnancy, counseling, child molestation, domestic violence, rape, and the mentally ill*)

By engaging in DoS attacks for only 7 companies (3 are not American), damaging hundreds of thousands of businesses, and harassing millions of American families (including minors), the “Mafia ISPs” (AT&T, Cablevision, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, and Verizon, so far) have engaged in DoS attacks against themselves – the “Mafia ISPs” are about to have their businesses slowed down with legal claims, settlements, and class action lawsuits from hundreds of thousands of companies.

## **Don't Be A Sucker To Globalist Guilt**

*July 19, 2012*

The UN Law of the Sea Treaty has again eroded into Washington. Pushed by Obama and his predecessor Bush II, the treaty would declare international waters property of the United Nations, subjecting all nations to pay a use tax to the UN. If “pledges” by our politicians are kept, the quorum will not be reached and the issue will be buried again for a while.

Holding no benefit applicable to the United States, the Law of the Sea is an example of a common globalist tactic – guilt. The American government, and the life of every American, are made to look anti-poor and anti-environment. Elites in the media, such as George Soros, beam the guilt into the American public with video clips of dying polar bears and starving African children. With the help of our weak-willed politicians, international law becomes American law, and America loses a part of itself.

Kyoto, Copenhagen, Davos – the desire to milk America of its money, resources, and sovereignty has been ongoing for decades. Other nations’ caricatures of Americans – obese, racist, greedy, selfish, polluting, destructive, animal-hating – are the basis for this globalist guilt technique. No person, no politician, with a heart would ever support this behavior – thus no person, no politician, with a heart would ever support the United States.

While the United States is no saint on the international level – particularly with regard to our disgusting entertainment, pharmaceutical, and agribusiness industries – we should not fall prey to these unsaintly guilt tactics. Don’t let globalist propaganda make you feel guilty, and make sure your representatives are just as strong. It is the responsibility of all Americans to avoid selfishness and make the world a better place, and we can do it all without the globalists and their anti-American guilt.

## **Chick-fil-A, Intolerance, and the Liberal War On Personality**

*July 28, 2012*

Many cities are trying to ban Chick-fil-A restaurants after company president Dan Cathy took a strong stance against gay marriage in accordance with his Baptist beliefs.

The Bible, frequently condemning homosexuality in both of its Testaments, is consistently being attacked as "hate speech" by the extreme Left and its homosexual agenda. (Strangely this same Left continues to attack opponents of the Quran as "racists".)

Mr. Cathy continues to defend his statements and religion in the face of bullying, censorship, and intimidation by the radical Left. But left-wing local governments will no doubt continue to prohibit Bible-believers from running a business, and Chick-fil-A will likely cave in.

This brings to mind an issue that frequently affects companies – pressure by liberals which results in stripping companies of their "souls".

Examples in recent years include feminists bullying tech retailer Newegg to reverse gender roles on a Valentine's Day promotion, the NAACP hassling visitors at the door of a small restaurant over a cutout of Obama as a pimp, and demanding Microsoft remove hidden immature jokes from their software.

This is the same as what the Left has done to "American culture" – turning institutions into soulless, mechanical factories, based on nothing but acts of (government-regulated) exchange.

Like other companies and individuals subject to "The Death Camp of Tolerance",<sup>12</sup> Chick-fil-A will likely lock their Bibles away and put out a press release apologizing for the "hate speech" of Moses and St. Paul.

Judaism and Christianity have much to fear from the extreme Left. Public property was the first to suffer a ban on everything Biblical, and now they are trying to do the same on private companies. Will individual Bible reading be their next target?

---

12 Linked to an episode of South Park of the same name, available for viewing on the official South Park Web site, where liberal efforts at fighting "intolerance" were portrayed as a concentration camp where children were overworked into exhaustion until they adopted "politically correct" views.

## **Presidential Efficiency**

*August 4, 2012*

A bill entitled the "Presidential Efficiency and Streamlining Act" has just passed both Houses and is about to become law. This bill, in the name of "efficiency", gives the President power to appoint key positions without Senate approval, including the US Treasurer and the Director of Selective Service.

Many of our pro-Obama "Tea Party Republicans" were responsible for this latest of many underreported, unnecessary, unwarranted, and extremely dangerous Republican increases of President Obama's executive power since the promised "Republican Revolution of 2010".

There is no reason to make any branch or department of government "efficient". "Efficiency" means things getting done (and getting them done faster). When the government "gets things done", freedom is decreased. Every added law is a subtracted freedom, and to give a group (let alone a single person) increased "efficiency" creates situations where multiple freedoms are subtracted as quick as possible.

This is why the Founding Fathers created a partially-democratic republic rather than a pure democracy – by preventing things from "getting done" you can live your life in freedom and peace.

Unfortunately the federal government has abandoned not only the Founding Fathers and the Constitution but freedom itself – attacking property rights, family life, privacy, free speech, public display of religion – by their bipartisan coronation of Obama as the King of the United Kingdom of Great America and its States.

## **The Easiest Way To Prove Obama's Faith**

*August 10, 2012*

Much has been written about Obama's faith over the years. While Obama claims to be a Christian (and not a Muslim or New Age person), he has not gone to a church since he left Reverend Wright (who had been harming Obama's political campaign with his anti-American sermons).

Obama praises Islam with a perfect accent, reverently enters mosques, and has made a few Freudian slips with regard to his views on Islam.<sup>13</sup>

Just the fact that Obama is a Muslim sympathizer should be enough to cause worry in those who believe in traditional Judeo-Christian values.

There is a very easy way to prove Obama's faith. It's found in the Bible:

"And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God." -Matthew 16:16 (KJV)

Every major branch of Christianity believes this. Catholicism and Orthodoxy may have sacraments and traditions, Protestants may go by the Bible alone, and Mormons may have additional scripture, but they all believe Matthew 16:16 to be true. With the exception of a few smaller sects, believers in long-declared heresies, and New Age groups, all major Christian belief systems believe that Jesus is the Christ and the Son of the living God.

Under Islam, holding such beliefs about Jesus Christ is an unforgivable sin<sup>14</sup>.

To prove he is a Christian, and not a Muslim or anything else, President Obama can say, on videotape, "I believe Jesus is the Christ, the Son of the living God." As the well-guarded leader of a well-armed secular country, he has no consequences to worry about.

---

13 A video montage of Obama's statements and actions with regard to Islam was embedded below this paragraph.

14 The name of this is "shirk"

Unless he believes in early church heresies or is part of some fringe group, if Obama was a Christian, he would say those words willingly and proudly, regardless of the motives of the person interviewing him or confronting him on the street.

That's all that has to be done. Get Obama to say "I believe Jesus is the Christ, the Son of the living God" on videotape. If he refuses to do that, he's got something to hide.

## Romney/Ryan 2012: The Single-Issue Ticket<sup>15</sup>

August 13, 2012

Mitt Romney's choice of Paul Ryan for Vice President is making many conservatives happy. Due to a couple of good political moves, GOP voters consider Paul Ryan is a real fiscal conservative and a libertarian follower of Ayn Rand.

This is far from true. Paul Ryan is not conservative. First, let's take on that last statement regarding Ayn Rand.

Ayn Rand was not a libertarian, in fact she was anti-libertarian. Rand believed in selfishness as the greatest good, with traditional values and Jesus' "Golden Rule" as evil. *Atlas Shrugged*, one of her most famous works, condemns St. Paul's famous statement that "the love of money is the root of all evil" (1 Tim 6:10 KJV), and also features a utopia where there is a temple to "the Self".

Ayn Rand supported an infinite Cold War, extreme copyright and patent regulations, and many other anti-libertarian ideas, collecting welfare along the way. When the inconveniences of libertarianism interfered with the self-worship she coined Objectivism, Rand went with the latter.

This is also true of Paul Ryan. His support for Rand and the anti-Judeo-Christian self-worship she promoted can be seen in his variety of left-wing policies. Ryan votes for bank bailouts, subsidizes farms and labor unions, supports Medicare Part D, the Patriot Act, and torture at Guantanamo. Does this sound like libertarianism to you?

Like Mitt Romney, the single-issue candidate who is about nothing but "jobs", Paul Ryan tries his best to dodge discussing any social issues, diverting the issue to "the budget" – just like a devout Objectivist, who will say and do anything to rise to the top.

Welcome to the Occupy Wall Street era of the GOP. Selfishness, theft, violence, unions, socialist national health care, giving money away to America-hating foreigners – like a child who wants a cookie, "I just want my job."

---

<sup>15</sup> At the top of this article was an image I put together, featuring the Obama/Guevara blue-tinted "HOPE" poster next to a photo of Mitt Romney, placed above above a red banner with the word "JOBS" and the word LibertyColumns.com in small print at the right-hand corner.

## **Global Warming “Denial” – The Opposite of Holocaust Denial**

*August 25, 2012*

Those who believe in “climate change” keep changing what they call it depending on the climate record over the past 5-10 years. First “global cooling”, then “global warming”.

Now supporters of this belief are pretending the phrase “global warming” never existed. You never hear environmentalists use the phrase “global warming” anymore used since 2009, the year of the Climategate scandal, also called the “Year Without A Summer” due to bizarre cold weather in the US, including snow in the Northeast that June and September, and iguanas freezing down and falling from trees in the South. Since 2009, the terms supporters use are either “climate change” or “global climate disruption”.

There is no climate data recorded before 1850, just on the brink of the industrialization which supposedly causes the climate to change. If you look at their propaganda graphs in high-school science textbooks, it shows a straight line on a graph before 1850 with a tiny dip above the Ice Age.

20 years worth of pre-industrial data is not sufficient control group to prove a hypothesis. In addition, there are several different climates in the world (tundra, taiga, temperate deciduous forest, you remember your science classes), some of which happen to be warming this year, some of which happen to be cooling this year.

Yet anyone who disagrees with any of this is a “denier”, just like a Holocaust denier.

Holocaust deniers **reject** proof of the Holocaust, ignoring **existing** data in favor of their racist opinions about Jews.

Climate Change “deniers” **demand** proof where there are thousands of years of **missing** data.

Provide this data us climate change “deniers” demand as well as something to compare it to, or global cooling/global warming/climate change/global climate disruption is not even a theory, just a hypothesis.

## **The Party of Blood & Gore Is Now The Second Party of Abortion<sup>16</sup>**

*August 30, 2012*

During the 2012 Republican National Convention, New Jersey Governor Chris Christie gave a great speech in support of Mitt Romney. However, it was rather disturbing to watch Republican Party delegates cheer loudly when he [used the pro-choice argument that government shouldn't get involved between a woman and her doctor in his criticism of Obamacare.]<sup>17</sup>

This is [what] the Me Generation wants to hear. And now, this international genocide of the unwanted has reached the Republican Party, two parties, one genocide.

Was this, however, inevitable? Let's call to mind a phrase coined by Pope John Paul II and popularized by George W. Bush, the "culture of death". While JPII was referring to those who support abortion and euthanasia, could this term be expanded and given a new meaning?

American culture has become a culture of death. Meaning, America is a nation obsessed with death. Whether it be drug and alcohol abuse, casual sex, violent television and video games, disrespect for the elderly and disabled (who need our care and kindness to live), or never-ending wars against random Middle Eastern countries, we seem to seek and embrace danger, death, and destruction.

And those who seek life, happiness, kindness, and peace – that is, religion, philosophy, ideology, values, purpose, higher power(s) – are viewed as "extremist nuts" in this country.

Obsession with death and violence, or obsession with life and happiness – which sounds more "nuts" to you?

---

16 Footnote #10 also applies to this article.

17 A link to the transcript and a video was here, with a note as to when the statement and cheering occurred in the video, and the video was embedded below.

Since Reagan, the Republican Party has prided itself on leading the way in matters of defense and security. In their use of the military, however, the GOP was always backed by values – freedom, democracy, capitalism, trade.

But with the choice of Mitt Clinton, selfishness has conquered all values, and the loud applause for Chris Christie's support of abortion further proves this point. The party of wars of defense and freedom has become the party of wars of greed and theft, a selfishness which has now been brought to this nation in the form of abortion.

## Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication

CREATIVE COMMONS CORPORATION IS NOT A LAW FIRM AND DOES NOT PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICES. DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT DOES NOT CREATE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP. CREATIVE COMMONS PROVIDES THIS INFORMATION ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CREATIVE COMMONS MAKES NO WARRANTIES REGARDING THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER, AND DISCLAIMS LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER.

### *Statement of Purpose*

The laws of most jurisdictions throughout the world automatically confer exclusive Copyright and Related Rights (defined below) upon the creator and subsequent owner(s) (each and all, an "owner") of an original work of authorship and/or a database (each, a "Work").

Certain owners wish to permanently relinquish those rights to a Work for the purpose of contributing to a commons of creative, cultural and scientific works ("Commons") that the public can reliably and without fear of later claims of infringement build upon, modify, incorporate in other works, reuse and redistribute as freely as possible in any form whatsoever and for any purposes, including without limitation commercial purposes. These owners may contribute to the Commons to promote the ideal of a free culture and the further production of creative, cultural and scientific works, or to gain reputation or greater distribution for their Work in part through the use and efforts of others.

For these and/or other purposes and motivations, and without any expectation of additional consideration or compensation, the person associating CC0 with a Work (the "Affirmer"), to the extent that he or she is an owner of Copyright and Related Rights in the Work, voluntarily elects to apply CC0 to the Work and publicly distribute the Work under its terms, with knowledge of his or her Copyright and Related Rights in the Work and the meaning and intended legal effect of CC0 on those rights.

**1. Copyright and Related Rights.** A Work made available under CC0 may be protected by copyright and related or neighboring rights ("Copyright and Related Rights"). Copyright and Related Rights include, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. the right to reproduce, adapt, distribute, perform, display, communicate, and translate a Work;
- ii. moral rights retained by the original author(s) and/or performer(s);
- iii. publicity and privacy rights pertaining to a person's image or likeness depicted in a Work;
- iv. rights protecting against unfair competition in regards to a Work, subject to the limitations in paragraph 4(a), below;
- v. rights protecting the extraction, dissemination, use and reuse of data in a Work;
- vi. database rights (such as those arising under Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases, and under any national implementation thereof, including any amended or successor version of such directive); and

vii. other similar, equivalent or corresponding rights throughout the world based on applicable law or treaty, and any national implementations thereof.

**2. Waiver.** To the greatest extent permitted by, but not in contravention of, applicable law, Affirmer hereby overtly, fully, permanently, irrevocably and unconditionally waives, abandons, and surrenders all of Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights and associated claims and causes of action, whether now known or unknown (including existing as well as future claims and causes of action), in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "Waiver"). Affirmer makes the Waiver for the benefit of each member of the public at large and to the detriment of Affirmer's heirs and successors, fully intending that such Waiver shall not be subject to revocation, rescission, cancellation, termination, or any other legal or equitable action to disrupt the quiet enjoyment of the Work by the public as contemplated by Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.

**3. Public License Fallback.** Should any part of the Waiver for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, then the Waiver shall be preserved to the maximum extent permitted taking into account Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose. In addition, to the extent the Waiver is so judged Affirmer hereby grants to each affected person a royalty-free, non transferable, non sublicensable, non exclusive, irrevocable and unconditional license to exercise Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "License"). The License shall be deemed effective as of the date CC0 was applied by Affirmer to the Work. Should any part of the License for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, such partial invalidity or ineffectiveness shall not invalidate the remainder of the License, and in such case Affirmer hereby affirms that he or she will not (i) exercise any of his or her remaining Copyright and Related Rights in the Work or (ii) assert any associated claims and causes of action with respect to the Work, in either case contrary to Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.

#### **4. Limitations and Disclaimers.**

- a) No trademark or patent rights held by Affirmer are waived, abandoned, surrendered, licensed or otherwise affected by this document.
- b) Affirmer offers the Work as-is and makes no representations or warranties of any kind concerning the Work, express, implied, statutory or otherwise, including without limitation warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non infringement, or the absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the present or absence of errors, whether or not discoverable, all to the greatest extent permissible under applicable law.
- c) Affirmer disclaims responsibility for clearing rights of other persons that may apply to the Work or any use thereof, including without limitation any person's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work. Further, Affirmer disclaims responsibility for obtaining any necessary consents, permissions or other rights required for any use of the Work.
- d) Affirmer understands and acknowledges that Creative Commons is not a party to this document and has no duty or obligation with respect to this CC0 or use of the Work.